


Have you previously considered the adaptation implications for procurement (or vice versa)?



What words or concepts come to mind when thinking about embedding adaptation into procurement?



Why or how might processes for adaptation-positive procurement and investment be different to more *traditional* procurement processes?

Focus on climate over cost

long-term thinking

less of a focus on short term value for money

lower immediate returns

use of different procedures (comp flex)

Longer term thinking and reviewing terms of contracts more regularly

Loss Avoidance based cost-benefit analysis

No idea!

Why or how might processes for adaptation-positive procurement and investment be different to more *traditional* procurement processes?

Shift in weighting

Consider how extreme weather could impact on suppliers/ supplies

take advantage of multi years budgets

More thoughtful and considered. Thinking about 'whole life' of a programme

You might consider the environmental commitments of the contractor

interdependencies

More constraints, more research

need to consider longer term

Why or how might processes for adaptation-positive procurement and investment be different to more *traditional* procurement processes?

considering supply chain

Shifts the benefit to
potentially an end user -
social housing for example

More focus on business
continuity especially during
extreme weather events

Forward thinking

Benefits and impacts are
very hard to quantify and
have a large range

Processes need to value the
impact of adaptation in a
relative scale versus other
forms of value

Better policies

Considering environmental
and social impact of
purchased goods and
services in addition to cost.

Why or how might processes for adaptation-positive procurement and investment be different to more *traditional* procurement processes?

change in benefits/risks
over time

Long-Term Sustainability

expertise needed to
evaluate

circular economy

Focus on supply chain
down further tiers

Submission of Mitigation
and Adaptation plans

More costly

climate risk assessment

Why or how might processes for adaptation-positive procurement and investment be different to more *traditional* procurement processes?

The value may need to be appraised over a longer period than the actual procurement agreement or project life cycle

Better weightings

Different priorities

Longer contract lengths

Wholistic benefits

Better policies longer term

Magnitude of change is so incomprehensible!

collaboration through supply chain

Why or how might processes for adaptation-positive procurement and investment be different to more *traditional* procurement processes?

maybe link t new social
justice duty

System is rigged against.

Can you think of any ways in which adaptation-positive procurement could differ to mitigation-positive procurement?

Added value - improving
lives and communities

It is ongoing and continued
rather than a one time
solution which is procured
for

No

More co-benefits

Reducing health
inequalities

Unsure

the role of individual vs
corporate / policy
actions

Wider scope. Not just
about carbon

Can you think of any ways in which adaptation-positive procurement could differ to mitigation-positive procurement?

designing with climate change in mind - eg more shade in developments

assess risks - plan for uncertainty - adapt to change?

Ask for adaptation to be built into the design?

minimises future costs

Need more information to improve understanding what adaptation-positive procurement means

link to local hazard mapping

focus on resilience not carbon (reduction)

Adaption/climate resilience is a 'now problem' for some digital leaders - much easier sell than the global long term decarbonisation challenge

Can you think of any ways in which adaptation-positive procurement could differ to mitigation-positive procurement?

Shifting benefit. Co benefits improved lives / local area / more nature / infrastructure

Mitigation tends to be driven by organisational targets.....adaptation seems more vague at the moment, needs to follow systematic climate change risk assessments

Considering climate change risks instead of minimising environmental impacts

longevity and broader benefits

more holistic, ESR decisions

More integrated with other social factors

Build community resilience - upskilling / employability skills via procurement of adaptation solutions.

Anticipate, Adapt, Act

Can you think of any ways in which adaptation-positive procurement could differ to mitigation-positive procurement?

more focus on improving living standards than reducing GHG emissions

Mitigation is a global (in a sense 'altruistic') issue....adaptation is local

Hard to quantify the benefits from disasters avoided

Immediate benefits

adaptation measures feel a lot more now

place-based climate risks

Different requirements within adaptive planning cycles - is this a valid place to be in the future? and engineering specifications and standards (will this material still be appropriate in this place)

Adaptation can be built into a wider range of areas than mitigation

Can you think of any ways in which adaptation-positive procurement could differ to mitigation-positive procurement?

Creates greater
resilience